Case 4:14-mj-71109-MRGD Document 24 Filed 04/23/15 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. $4:14-m_1-71109-MAG-1$
Plaintiff,) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
JULIOUS SCOTT Defendant.)))
Trial Act from April 23, 2015, to continuance outweigh the best interest of	the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ling and bases this continuance on the following factors:
Failure to grant a continuous See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)	uance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (7)(B)(I).
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreason	r so complex, due to [circle applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact nable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial aits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
_	nance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, xercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	nance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given d case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
	nance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time reparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (7)(B)(iv).
•	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure
	the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § y resulting from removal/transport of the defendant to another district.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: April 23, 2015	
DATED: April 23, 2015	DONNA M. RYU
~ 1.10	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	Joff flood 77.

Attorney for Defendant